

14. Do you support a City and Forest Service partnership to build an enhanced and expanded trailhead?	
Do you have any comments about trailhead parking?	
No	50 - 70 parking spots with flush toilets for a Sedona trailhead...are you kidding? This would attract more tourists and more traffic.
No	50-70 parking spaces would overwhelm the trails in that area. The current amount of parking is great.
No	A General Parking lot at the Dells, with regular shuttle service, would be only a 5 minute drive to the Girdner/Western Gateway Trailhead. Such a parking lot could also service Amphitheater Parking and general parking for day visitors to Sedona, much like the main parking lot at the Grand Canyon minimizes day traffic in Grand Canyon Village. This parking area is also supported by shops and a movie theater, showing films about the history and geology of the Grand Canyon. The Dells could offer Sedona day visitors something very similar, with a Theater featuring Sedona-centric films, along with paid advertisements for Sedona businesses, shops, and perhaps small "extension" store fronts for Galleries across town to feature examples of their fine art and
No	A parking lot focused just for trailhead does make good use of the land, some parking multi-use for recreation/event/trail should be considered not just more trail parking. Use of the parking should be free to residents by permit (not hotel/str guests) and paid hourly/daily parking for visitors to ALL trailhead parking.
No	current trailhead is just fine.
No	Don't need more of a draw for more tourists
No	Enough is enough
No	existing shuttle service seems to be working fine
No	Fine the way it is. C'mon
No	Forrest Service is best to make these decisions.
No	I believe if you put parking there, more people will use those trails and it is perfect the way it is right now. I do not want to attract further tourist to that area.
No	I do not support any additional parking at the Cultural Park/ Western Gateway.
No	I don't think the city should have to pay for it.
No	I think the Forest Service should do it on their own and use the Forest land for the Forest use, which includes trailhead parking and signage.
No	I think we should be encouraging people to use the trailheads by riding on a public free shuttle
No	I use that trailhead multiple days during the week. I never see 50+ cars. I'd like to hear from the forest service about whether or not they see a need for expansion.
No	I would like to see worker housing and a homeless services center.
No	I'd prefer to keep the trailhead amenities small (the USFS plan). Adding flush toilets, etc., ultimately benefits tourists more than residents and I am absolutely against any further city investment that continues to encourage more tourism in Sedona. Enough! It's time to focus on residents and take actions that re-balance our economy in favor of more community-based, non-tourist-based businesses for a more sustainable, stable economy and better quality of life for residents.
No	If it goes on Forest service land, the forest service should manage their own parking lot. Sedona taxpayers should NOT have to pay for it.
No	If more parking is needed, do a deal to travel over some national forest land and supply more shuttle busses to existing TH's. The entire WG property should be dedicated to low cost rental housing intended for hospitality workers.
No	If the forest service is already planning for 50-70 parking spots and compost restrooms like they did off Long Canyon Rd, that should be sufficient for trailhead parking.
No	It should be controlled only so it doesn't impinge on foliage.
No	It's fine now
No	Let the Forest service handle the trailhead parking. Sedona has already messed up with a parking garage that will be the most expensive in the US. Oh, and placing it on an evacuation route is insanity. I won't even get into the mess of the Forest rd
No	Let's let the Forest Service create their own parking area for the trailheads. And use the City's land for recreational and community gathering, with maybe a smaller sized indoor venue for performances.
No	Limit it and continue to provide a shuttle service. The tourons will eventually get used to it.
No	Limiting parking is one of the few ways to limit overuse. If 50 - 70 can handle non-peak use, then add a shuttle stop for peak days. Force shuttle use as much a possible
No	More trail head parking is not the answer. Look at Cathedral. The city is not allowing folks into the Back o Beyond trailhead parking. That should hold true for other tourist attractions such as the Chapel of the Holy Cross. A larger trailhead parking lot is simply a temporary "fix" With Phoenix only a couple of hours away, more parking is not the answer. Fewer cars entering the city is the answer. The city has to take control of the number of cars entering our roads, like other tourist attractions throughout the world. More parking is just catering to our city being overrun.

No	no overnight parking
No	No trailheads parking. Hikers only park at designated lots and ride transit.
No	No, I think the shuttle options are great and adding more parking would discourage use of shuttles because people would prefer to park their own cars.
No	no, lets leave the trailhead parking as it is, though compost toilets would be nice.
No	None
No	Not every trailhead has to have an asphalt parking lot!!!! As a local it is becoming increasingly difficult to find a trail where you are not hiking with tons of other people. Does asphalt at Mescal trailhead or Brins Mesa (which by the way that road is inaccessible to most cars now) really enhance the experience? I
No	Not necessary. There is a shuttle service now that has been quite successful.
No	NOT NEEDED! Please stop cutting down trees and desecrating the desert for parking lots and toilets!
No	Once again, too much traffic too much over hype, bringing people in to an area that cannot handle the overload.
No	Our trails are already over utilized
No	Parking for amphitheater can double as trailhead / shuttle parking
No	Parking should be moved to Forest Service land. Composting toilets are fine. We should not be wasting water on flushing toilets at trailheads. The city should not be burdened with the maintenance and cleaning of a trailhead parking lot.
No	Please do not add parking in the forrest, all these ideas make me feel sad.
No	Please keep it to day use and not a homeless camp. Sedona severely lack legit camping for those will to pay for camping.
No	Please stop giving away National Forest land to developers, whatever claims made about what the land will be used for it still means less of the natural beauty that draws so many of us here.
No	Problem with large trailhead parking is to many people on the trails. There needs to be a way to limit the trail traffic. Devils Bridge is a good example
No	Put money into locals.
No	Quite a bit already there. Please retain the centennial walkway; it affords the best views in Sedona that most people can use.
No	Should be a program for locals. Really unfair we can never leave our homes.
No	Stop advertising for more tourists until the traffic issues are FIXED
No	The buses were supposed to eliminate the need for more trailhead parking! How has that gone wrong?
No	The city has mucked up all trailhead parking as it is. No additional trails are needed.
No	The enhancement of trailhead parking in this area is a worthwhile idea and will help attract more hikers, mountain bikers, etc. that are interested in the natural environment that makes Sedona so special. This area will need to be policed and monitored to minimize fire risk from unauthorized overnight campers. If this project moves forward, trying to maintain a more natural environment and minimize the damage to the forest should be a priority.
No	The forest service already plans to do 50-70 parking spaces and compost toilets, that should be plenty for the trailhead. They did that on Long Canyon Rd and it's been great.
No	The forest service does a good job with its lands. Allowing Sedona to help develop this area would help turn it into another mismanaged city area.
No	The Girdner Trail and associated trails are wonderful as is, and less used than many other trail networks.
No	The Mesquite Trail/Devil's Bridge parking lot is an abomination on the land. I do not support further desecration of Sedona's environment and the trails and land cannot support the increased use without further harm. The sacred cultural park is not the area for this. If you must do something like this it should be at the Dells.
No	The more parking you put in locations, the more people crowd the trails. One thing that kept trail traffic down was lack of parking. Get there early or you can't hike it because there is no parking. Such is life. Sedona has jammed the trails by shuttling people to the trailheads so we can all now walk in a line of people in the "wilderness." It has been terrible for locals. Locals should still be considered in the decisions, and it seems like parking and shuttles are just so visitors can keep cramming into Sedona and spending their money.
No	The parking seems adequate as is. 50-70 parking is more than enough for TH parking.
No	The trail head is great the way that it is.
No	The USFS should focus on maintaining, conserving and protecting our forests, not on recreational opportunities.
No	There are plenty of trails in other areas
No	There is a trailhead parking, etc already. There already are so many trails around Sedona. No spending on this.
No	There is already a trailhead here, slight expansion ok not needed. Also there is now a new trailhead across from the cultural
No	There is enough trailhead parking for trail shuttle pick up.

No	There is no need for additional trailhead parking. The Amphitheater parking can perform TRIPLE duty --- hikers and bikers, plus park users, can park at the Amphitheater parking lot during the daytime hours. That same parking lot can be utilized in the late afternoons/evenings (when hikers/bikers/park users are off the trails) for performances at the Amphitheater.
No	There is no need for this, as the parking for the shuttle across the street is never full. There is always the High School that is not used on weekends.
No	There is no need to spend money on something that is already there.
No	There is plenty of parking already at that trailhead. I hike there all the time at all hours of the day. There are plenty of access points to that trail system.
No	There is plenty of parking currently. Only it is not paved.
No	There's nothing wrong with what's already there. There's plenty of parking right now and it works. We don't need to spend a million dollars to paint lines for stupid people.
No	This a frivolous waste of resources. Again, where is any thought and logic with these plans. I fear whomever thought of this is completely out of touch with the needs of this community. Maybe they should go live in a tent in the desert for a few weeks and find out first hand about the housing shortage in Sedona.
No	Too close to the high school. The one by the high school should be moved!!
No	traffic and homeless
No	Trailhead parking in the entire area of all of Sedona is beyond terrible. Worst of any place I've ever been. Living here sucks because of it. Pathetic
No	use the shuttle
No	Use the trail head shuttle for crying out loud!!! This is a dumb idea conflicting with existing programs.
No	We already have plenty of trail opportunities for both local and visiting hikers.
No	We are focusing too much in tourists and not enough for our schools and children.
No	We don't need more. That's why we have the shuttle.
No	We don't have to have 5-star parking areas.
No	We have dozens of trails scattereld all over the area. Do not crowd and focus people into some "central" one. Keep them
No	We have enough
No	we have plenty trailheads already and with the number of people complaining about them another one will just bring more
No	We have so many trailheads. We need housing and community amenities that serve families with children.
No	Where is the demand for more cultural things like an art museum?
No	Where would such a facility be located?
No	While I am not opposed to enhanced amenities—I am a little concerned about the use of that area as a homeless encampment and the issues that might result—particularly fire danger. That aside, it sounds like the federally funded improvements are sufficient for the area.
No	You can't build a big enough trailhead lot. That should now be evident. We have a shuttle system. And that should have a charge. Giving it away is financially irresponsible. You can't go anywhere on the planet and get free rides. Another bad decision by a city with too much money.
No	You don't need to build anything. Sedona is fine just as it is.
Yes	A parking facility to house mountain bike and running events would be spectacular. The Arizona high school league and MBAA would love to bring hundreds of kids to Sedona for a race. This could also help draw more families to town if that is a goal of the city council.
Yes	A place to host kids' bike races and trail running events is needed to attract younger residents. Sedona has a large middle school/high school mountain biking club but no place to hold events. The team always has to travel to far-away events when we have world-class trails in Sedona.
Yes	Absolutely need a bigger and improved trailhead parking lot!
Yes	Add toilets.
Yes	Again, plan for more human impact!!!
Yes	All large parking areas should include restrooms.
Yes	All trailhead parking should be expanded.
Yes	All trailheads in Sedona (including Oak Creek Canyon, Red Rock Loop, Schnebly Hill, HWY 179 areas) should be free to Sedona residents. A free permit pass for Sedona residents should be given to residents and displayed in vehicles when parked in said
Yes	Allow it!
Yes	Already in the existing Master Plan.
Yes	Always has been a good day use of the Sedona Cultural Park. It was included in the original master plan .

Yes	Anything would be an improvement over current trailhead parking. And if the city can make it better by partnering with the Forest Service - I'd say 'go for it'.
Yes	As a local resident it is so frustrating to not be able to park anywhere on the trailheads for parking. I would suggest a 'locals' only parking area for some of the more popular trails and we can have a 'permit' to show we can park there. Also, the overuse of large spots for horse trailer parking in some of these lots is ridiculous. No one has ever been parked there in all the times we
Yes	As a very frequent user, I can confirm that the parking lot is never even half full today. What would change that? Don't increase size unless you have reason for it.
Yes	As noted above, some consideration should be made for commercial facilities to support the Off Road Vehicle fleets on the West side of Sedona. It won't remove these vehicles from the road, but the City, vendors and the Forest Service will have a unique opportunity to create a more useful experience for everyone.
Yes	As tourism grows waste products need to be addressed. will flushed toilets connect to the sewage treatment plant? I hope so!
Yes	At minimum cost to the environment— the more, the better! There would be less traffic, and us residents would be more able to explore Sedona freely without having to worry about parking.
Yes	At the edge of the 41-acre property - yes.
Yes	Bring back full service recycling!
Yes	Build affordable housing for local families and employees.
Yes	Capitalize on the existing trailhead. It is popular. Centennial is one of the only accessible trails in the area. Lots of mobility restricted relatives like to visit that trail. Keep it .
Yes	City should be making money from such parking instead of raising taxes
Yes	Collaboration between the City and Forest Service is certainly a good thing. I don't know about this particular trail, but the idea sounds fine to me.
Yes	Combine with current FS trailhead....expand and improve.
Yes	complete a study to assess how much parking is needed and then provide that parking for the trailhead.
Yes	Critical partnership
Yes	Currently love how it is, would need to be expanded for amphitheater parking
Yes	Currently parking for the trailhead is a complete mess with a lot of broken glass, etc. In fact there's quite a lot of broken glass on the sections of trail closer to parking. Any agreement with the forest service should involve a plan to remedy the mess and prevention measures in the future.
Yes	Definitely support this idea.
Yes	Do it
Yes	Do Not Pave it.
Yes	Do NOT put in restroom like at Posse Ground Shuttle, anywhere else!! Those smell to high heaven!!
Yes	Don't have trailhead parking. Make them park across the street at the city shuttle, and ride the shuttle over to the western Gateway. We do not need more paving and parking lots in western Gateway.
Yes	Don't close the parking lot like you've done at other trailheads.
Yes	Eh? Who cares. They can park at the amphitheater when there's no concert. Easy-peasy.
Yes	Encouraging use of that area for hiking could take some of the burden off other trails. I used ride my horses through that area and it's very pretty.
Yes	Excellent idea to improve the current trailhead!
Yes	excellent idea.
Yes	Expand parking at trailheads. Expand the bus service to trailheads . Ie VOC and 89a up to sliderock.
Yes	expanded trailhead parking can be used for amphitheater when needed.
Yes	Extra trailhead parking is good but we also need to balance the foot traffic on the trails and make sure the trails don't turn into busy pedestrian thoroughfares.
Yes	Free for residents of Sedona
Yes	Get the federal govt to pay for it?
Yes	Good idea to have flush toilets & sinks to minimize the spread of disease
Yes	Greater work needs to be put into trailhead parking system. Trailheads should require parking passes that can be acquired by residents to be able to park. There is no reason we should be forced to drive even further distances to then be shuttled back to a trail was relatively close to were we live.
Yes	he's doing a good job. The forrest service has more say over it than the city does. I think the city has done a poor job on talking about the other transportation options in the city
Yes	Hiking and access to the land is what Sedona is about.
Yes	I am grateful for the walking path along Dry Creek Road. I was repulsed by visitors urinating along the roadway due to roadside parking and no restrooms. We fully support parking off the roadway in designated areas with toilets!

Yes	I am not sure exactly where the Forest Service and city owned land are located so am not really sure what this question is
Yes	I believe that in collaboration with city and forest service planners that a cost share agreement could be implemented to include moving from city to forest service lands for trailhead and parking improvements. I support striving to locate future trail and parking infrastructure to forest service administered lands.
Yes	I believe we need to preserve as much land as we possibly can.
Yes	I encourage use of the shuttle parking near the high school with improved cross walk to the Western Gateway.
Yes	I fully support this anything we can do to boost orderly enjoyment of the land for everyone who lives here and visits is great money & well spent. There should be a shared responsibility between the city and the forest service in all things that relate to enjoying our beautiful home.
Yes	I fully support this land being used for recreational trails with good trailhead facilities.
Yes	I have spent much time picking up trash in this area since it used to be a dump at one time. Will this be cleaned up or amended? Definitely needs lighting and more "curb appeal." Right now, it's the least scenic area to start a hike. Would love to see more plantings that attract — feeds —the birds and bees. Maybe even a rainwater collection system if that makes sense.
Yes	I heard there was a proposal for parking for vans and campers. this is a BAD idea and would promote vagrants.
Yes	I host trail running camps here in Sedona. Having a flushable restroom at the trailhead would be a huge asset. Girdner TH is so popular, it should absolutely be upgraded...pave the roadway into the TH and put in flushable toilets. Before the area was purchased by the City and closed with the boulders...a lovely map of the trails and surrounding area was placed near the fire gate by Roundabout and Outer Limits...this area has the potential to be an amazing TH.
Yes	I like that this trailhead isn't crowded.
Yes	I like the idea of the trailheads being on national Forrest land
Yes	I live near this site and there doesn't seem to have much use of it now.
Yes	I support developing more Trail heads, including adding composting bathrooms at all of them, but my understanding is that we have an excellent shuttle service, so it seems like the idea of laying more black concrete to bake in the sun doesn't really make sense because it's just not needed. if you did some kind of holding area for bus drop offs and a shaded area for people to wait for the bus that feels like a better use than inviting more cars into the city.
Yes	I support efforts to enhance trailhead parking. There should be two lots. One on each side of the highway, both used for trailhead, transit, and trailhead shuttle parking. Both a collaborative effort between city and forest service
Yes	I support the partnership idea but only if the land to be utilized is forest service land. We shouldn't sacrifice even 1 acre of WG land for the trailhead. We can support it financially, sure, but the forest service should make all necessary land available.
Yes	I support working with the Forest Service on this project to bring something great to the community. I feel there are exciting possibilities for a park area to built on the west side of the property. That would allow for folks to enjoy the park and its amenities or use it as a launching pad into the forest land and trails.
Yes	I think better enforcement of passes is needed and establishing a fund from permits to service the trail heads
Yes	I think locations for parking are necessary but should be developed with the City trailhead Shuttle.
Yes	I think that the trail system at the Western gateway is the best trail system in this area. I think that efforts to maintain and to protect it are essential. I think that overuse, especially by visitors to Sedona, has damaged all of the trails in Sedona, including those at the Western Gateway. The problem with improvements like paved parking and rest rooms is that they promote over use of the trails and do not benefit the local population. So, if there is a way to do improvements and not promote overuse, or to also protect/maintain the trails for local use, that is good.
Yes	I think this area should be left as a trailhead and trails with the restored amphitheater
Yes	I would support the forest service land for parking and city land to deliver utilities to the parking area for flush toilets on Forest service land but don't think it's a good idea to use scarce city land for trailhead parking.
Yes	I wouldn't recommend flush toilets. The city park bathrooms are abysmal. Flush toilet bathrooms at a trailhead will eventually turn into the same. But a partnership overall is still a great idea.
Yes	Ideally it would not be immediately adjacent any housing projects.
Yes	Ideally, parking can be multi-purpose for the trailhead, events and transit use.
Yes	I'm all in. Make it appealing to trail users. A place to hang out after an adventure. Bathrooms, pavilions, water, a park, .
Yes	I'm dissapointed the recycling bins were removed.
Yes	Improvements for more efficient parking at the trailhead are definitely needed. The Western Gateway trail system is very popular for hikers and bikers. Upgrades should include flush toilets and ramada type picnic areas.
Yes	Improving the existing trailhead is a priority
Yes	Include a safe bike entrance with bike parking
Yes	Increase shuttle locations and frequency.
Yes	It could be a small parking lot. More importantly, the land could be primarily used for permanent multifamily affordable housing to assist service workers in Sedona.

Yes	It is needed and may help reduce traffic congestion if a shuttle service can operate from that site, too.
Yes	It is so needed! That is why many people come to Sedona and other lots are NOT supporting the demand.
Yes	It must be free to park there for Sedona residents.
Yes	It seems like it could be a central pickup point for the trailhead shuttle.
Yes	It would continue to keep a lot of tourist vehicles away from the trailheads and reduce traffic congestion on our roads.
Yes	It's a pain to take a bus. There should be limited spaces and a lottery for them with gates and codes
Yes	It's a great idea!
Yes	It's actually fine as is, but once you improve it, it'll be over-run with tourists who heretofore haven't learned about it (ask anyone who's hiking there--except for the contiguous time share wanderers, they are all locals). But I'd nonetheless support FOREST SERVICE input into improvements.
Yes	Just don't make it part of the redrock pass. The people that live in Sedona need to be able to use their own area for free.
Yes	Just improve the road and parking there
Yes	Keep the homeless out of this parking area.
Yes	Leave all alone for 10 years
Yes	Let's not go trailhead overboard. Sedona is rich in trails and trailheads. Those living in the Western Gateway housing units will enjoy a trail or two, but trails also bring more tourists.
Yes	long overdue
Yes	Make it easy and free for residents.
Yes	Make more
Yes	Make sure people aren't living in the parking lot. There are a lot of unsavory characters and drugs around all parking lots lately (especially by goodwill).
Yes	Minimal parking otherwise it will require law enforcement to monitor overnight parking.
Yes	More large parking lots on the edges of the city with shuttle service for tourists and locals. This keeps traffic light and trails/surrounding area quieter and less disturbed.
Yes	More of this needs to be planned at a broader level to address parking and shuttle goals for city, USFS and counties.
Yes	more trailhead parking please! a big space that could hold events, such as mountain bike races or 5k run would be amazing and may entice more people to come and live in sedona, especially families.
Yes	Most things the city tries to run are money pits. We had plenty of parking around the amphitheater. The city purchased the property and blocked off all parking. They closed parking around many trailheads to force people to use the shuttles. The residents of Sedona end up financing all of this. I am a hiker. I now only use trails that I can access from my home.
Yes	Naturalize it with the environment as much as possible.
Yes	NEEDED!!!!
Yes	No homeless people and some garbage cans are a must
Yes	No overnight parking
Yes	No overnight parking. Day use only.
Yes	No tourist parking. Only locals with permits. There are over 400 miles elsewhere in and around Sedona for tourists.
Yes	No.
Yes	Only makes the most sense
Yes	Parking for the amphitheater can be used as trailer parking
Yes	Parking in the Cultural Park makes way more sense than in uptown!!
Yes	People will be parking there, it might as well be well organized and maintained to help keep order
Yes	Picnic tables, shade, bathroom
Yes	Please add water serviced restrooms with flush toilets and sinks for the trailhead.
Yes	Please do not make it huge (50-70 striped parking spaces). Keep it smaller 15-25 spaces, so trails can be maintained, monitored, and the forest and its animals protected from overuse, misuse, and trash.
Yes	Please have plenty of parking
Yes	Please include ample and exclusive equestrian parking. The only dedicated and adequate equestrian parking is located at the end of a two track road that is not maintained sufficiently to travel with a horse trailer. The cultural park used to have plenty of trailer accessible parking until the city blocked it all off. There are few equestrian friendly trails in Sedona, the western trail system is most of them and otherwise only accessible by parking near the gun shooting area.
Yes	Reduces amount of dust generated, which is currently harmful to plant life in the area.
Yes	Reopen the USFS lot to the north.
Yes	Right now the road and the parking area is a mess. Can some of that space be used for housing. It's fairly flat
Yes	Security cameras to increase safety.

Yes	Sedona area has been gifted with this beautiful, history-rich environment. Improving responsible access to enjoy this natural wonder should be a priority of the City
Yes	Sedona does not have a single, large trailhead* with multiple, flushable toilets and sinks and lots of parking. This is a good place for that. All of our existing trailheads are too small and fill up quickly. One reason people choose to go hiking/biking at Girdner (Western Gateway) is because there is unlimited parking (for now). Unlike other trailheads where you may drive to it only to find it full, then have to drive to the next one. Every single, other trailhead in this town are all too small for such a popular tourist town. Oh yeah, did it ever occur to anyone (with Forest Service or City) that most of the trailheads in the city - including this one (Girdner) - do not have toilets? So where are all these people that park at a trailhead going to the bathroom? Think about it - that's disgusting, and unacceptable. Do we have to wait until this property develops to get a toilet there? eeks
Yes	Sedona needs more trailhead parking at EVERY trailhead.
Yes	Sedona residents should not have to pay a parking fee at trailhead parking since we are already paying taxes to fund it.
Yes	Should be able to combine with amphitheater parking
Yes	Shuttles should be dropping people off, not people driving neighborhoods trying to find the trailheads. Expand the shuttle. Make a grander list of things to do so that people don't just focus on 3 places the shuttle delivers to. Death Valley has a list of 26 small places so not one area is over loved. Educate, educate, educate.....
Yes	Small, similar to trailhead at Broken Arrow but paved.
Yes	Such a venture would be in line with the Community Plan and the vision that many people have about Sedona.
Yes	The city of sedona is missing a huge opportunity with van life. Van lifers aren't homeless but they need a place to park and would pay for it.
Yes	The enhanced trailhead can coexist with the amphitheater that must be revived at the park.
Yes	the entire development should orient and open to the national forest trail system. You can hike, bike, enjoy scenery, then have a beverage or meal at an on-site bar restaurant, or be at your onsite home. and also have a yoga studio, meeting space with a view, etc. Parking shared with large parking on forest.
Yes	The Gateway area is the perfect place for trailhead parking. Work with the Forest Service to make Shuttles MANDATORY during peak times (most of the year). If there is parking at trailheads, people will go there & line all streets in the area, like they do now. Visitors will do whatever is asked & expect to pay for it - like the Grand Canyon. Citizens & tourists will have a better
Yes	The Gateway provides access to many excellent trails on both sides of 89a and as such is an important location for parking and starting trail- use recreational activities. As such the facilities should be adequately sized with appropriately sized, quality facilities (think Brown's Ranch Pima Dynamite Trail head Scottsdale)
Yes	The improvements should include remediation of all the glass shards littering the area and trails exiting the current trailhead.
Yes	The proposed trailhead should support day use horse trailers and RVs. No overnight parking.
Yes	The tourists are now parking in the pickleball parking lot to ride the trailhead shuttle. They're parking dangerously in the road by Mescal trailhead. More parking serviced by the shuttle seems to have a huge impact that takes away from the few places we locals frequent.
Yes	The trailhead parking needs up-keeping.
Yes	There is a distinct need for an expanded and upgraded trailhead at this location. This area could become the center of hiking, equestrian and mountain biking activity in the greater Sedona area. This enhancement will help decrease the overcrowding on other areas such as Bell Rock, Little Horse and Cathedral areas.
Yes	There needs to be more parking at trail heads
Yes	There needs to be much more parking for trailheads.
Yes	There should be a large trailhead parking just after the Cathedral Rock round a bout. The trail could go to Cathedral Rock and to the under bridge and to hi=line. Tired of us paying for a guard for the privileged few that knew there was a trailhead their when they bought their house. AWAKE UP.
Yes	There should be many more trailhead parking locations. But Sedona is all about restricting and reducing access to the Red Rock national forest area. Instead of Keep Sedona Beautiful, their motto and view of tourists might as well be Keep Sedona to Ourselves. Everything visitor related is a crisis. The OHV crisis, the graffiti crisis, the STR crisis. Visitors provide the revenue. Interesting that most residents started as tourists. "Drawbridge people" as one smart local called them. Fortunate enough to get to actually live here and then don't want anyone else to be able to enjoy the area. Increased access to the forest areas west and south of Sedona helps to spread visitors out more so those who own these public lands (all Americans - not just Sedona residents) can better enjoy and appreciate them. Of course, many in Sedona will cry that there is "over tourism" and that the trails are being over-used, while it never crosses their minds that their personal use of the trails is just as impactful as anyone else's. Ever heard a resident suggest we should voluntarily stay off the trails during the peak times in order that our visitors who don't have the privilege of living in Sedona, might be able to use them during peak visitation times? No. Better to tell the visitors to come when the weather isn't as nice so residents can have the trails to themselves in spring and fall. Shameful.

Yes	There should be plenty of parking for the amphitheater which is only used occasionally
Yes	There's not enough trailhead parking, but I like the bus system and would like to expand on it
Yes	Think hard about the flushing toilets. You will create another Homeless hang out before you know it. Is that why north end of Uptown is void of such a luxury? Think the city doesn't like to clean bathrooms, so why not hire that out?
Yes	This has become a very popular trail head, of course there should be adequate parking.
Yes	This is a good start that needs to be expanded. The whole shuttle service is poorly marketed and communicated. It is not easy to understand. You need a good marketing communications person to lead this. DO NOT hire an expensive consultant.
Yes	This would be a fantastic spot for an area to hold running and cycling races.
Yes	This would be a good spot to hold events such as biking and running.
Yes	To smooth our areas where people are apt to pull over. Larger bike lanes thru Sedona and Red Rock Loop area.
Yes	Toilet area should be indoor plumbing flush units similar to freeway rest areas---NOT port-a-potty type units.
Yes	Tough issue. Expand the access and maintenance needs are increased as well.
Yes	Trail access is important to local community as well as tourists
Yes	Trail head access only for locals and only with local permits. No tourist access. There are hundreds of other trails for tourists to roam in/around Sedona.
Yes	trailhead parking is a huge need in Sedona. Also expanding the bike paths and adding ebike parking.
Yes	Trailhead parking is a necessity. Many people need to use it. It is a proper use of land.
Yes	Trailhead parking is always an issue, so if there is room for a larger parking lot that might be a good thing.
Yes	Trailhead parking is good and so is the new Shuttle system. Seems to be working well so keep doing it.
Yes	Trailhead parking should be heavily reliant on the capacity of the trailhead to ensure there is not extensive resource damage
Yes	Trails are what draw visitors and those of us in Sedona that love a hike. Let's make trail parking more accessible. Not for hoardes of people--the bus is good for that but more than teacup for example
Yes	Two pit toilets and cover the parking area wit asphalt grindings.
Yes	use exsisting area parking
Yes	USFS and Sedona are entangled best friends. Support each other
Yes	we definitely need more parking hopefully to support the shuttle service. The one at posse grounds is already overflowed. People are parking in the spots designated for the Dog park. Dog owners have a hard time parking to access the Dog Park.
Yes	We need more housing
Yes	We need more trailhead parking in general. The existing trailheads are always full so many visitors end up parking in private and already overfull lots within the city and take the shuttle. More parking options are needed outside of the city.
Yes	We need more!
Yes	We need trailhead parking at the various trailheads, not one massive parking area that requires shuttles to get to trailheads.
Yes	We really do need a pit toilet there
Yes	Well will we have a big lot? Look at any trail head and the parking is never enough because of rules by the forest system. Either make a huge lot or use transportation to address it.
Yes	What is planned to ensure safety of attendees including adequate lighting and security And how will these features be paid for & maintained
Yes	When building it, add more parking spaces rather than taking them away. The parking lot at the Secret trail just north of Crescent Moon built a parking lot and greatly downsized it from the original dirt one and already there's not enough parking
Yes	Would love trailhead parking there. Amazing trails there that we would definitely use.
Yes	YC facility and Summit Timeshares should not be unnecessarily disadvantaged by development of the Gateway.
Yes	yes and pull offs so visitors can stop along hwy 179 to keep the traffic moving similar to the Grand Canyon drive along the rim
Yes	Yes push all parking spots onto forest land, they have a nearly unlimited amount near there as opposed to utilizing city land
Yes	Yes! We need to continue to improve accessibility for residents and visitors. More parking and safe access to the trails are wonderful improvements.
Yes	Yes!, The forest service needs to cough up a good bit of land for all the visitors that come, it is never going to be less visitors, only more. Not just one trailhead but many more.
Yes	Yes, but only for permitted locals. Not for tourists.
Yes	Yes, but only if this ties in strategically with our current plans for trail head shuttles. Sedona's trail head shuttle service should be a definite priority.
Yes	Yes, have it by permit and not by volume too many people on the trails at one time ruin the whole experience. Permits control the flooding of people coming in and it becomes Disneyland.

Yes	Yes, the City and Forest Service should be working collaboratively on the Girder Trailhead as well as the overall proximity of the Cultural Park/Western Gateway to the surrounding Forest and Western Gateway Trail system. There should be planned access to the Trail system, so we do not end up with a spiderweb of social trails that exist in many areas of Sedona. Many residents move here for the close proximity of the trails to their homes. We want to keep people out of their cars, so ensure there is well planned access and connection to the Forest Service trails from any development that the City approves or does, including this
Yes	You need to design the parking so that it shared across the usages, such as the trailhead and the Ampitheater.
	Charge a small fee through a machine for parking in trailhead. If the vehicle doesn't have one then a ticket will be given. That money could be used for upkeep.
	I do not see any options for affordable or workforce housing on this survey.
	I fear that it will turn the trails into an emphasis on E-mountain bike (yes, they are illegal, but people do it all the time) and mountain bike trails
	Needs more definition.
	Please allow locals access always without use of shuttles Have a local pass or parking area for locals
	Please provide more housing (apartments, single family homes, etc.)
	The only way it makes sense for the City of Sedona to contribute to any partnership with Forest Service is to prioritize Resident use of these proposed areas, and to offer all the FS services/facilities for use by Sedona Residents and their guests at no charge.
	Trail head parking with tcreation of a trail head entrance from this area ok

15. Do you support consolidating transit parking to one lot at the Western Gateway property?		
16. Do you support a multi-use parking area at Western Gateway for transit and trailhead use?		
Do you have any comments about transit parking?		
No	No	\$23 million for a parking lot? Really?
No		1. How do you intend to get visitors to give up their vehicle and use public transport? 2. I don't want to see WG becoming a large car park 3. Parking should be shared usage; each usage should not have a dedicated parking area 4. Event management is key to parking. Who is going to control event bookings so that you don't overload the network?
No	No	A transit parking lot should be located at the beginning of dry Creek Road because that is where all the tourists go. Dry Creek Road is the hub of where all the hiking is in the area so that is where a parking lot should be located.
No	Yes	All day trippers park at the dell's and use a shuttle service into Sedona from 89a and if 179 a shuttle service below the ranger building into the voc.
No	No	As I've discussed previously, there is not enough available land at the Western Gateway to provide sufficient parking, particularly if the Amphitheater is refurbished and properly managed. I believe the solution, particularly as growth in Sedona continues, is to build a single, large parking lot at the Dells, from which transit parking, trailhead shuttles and Amphitheater parking could be combined at one location. Such a parking lot also creates the opportunity to provide public features, shops, galleries, a Sedona-Centric Movie Theater, eateries, a convenience store, and possibly work force housing, All while stemming the flow of day use traffic into the city.
No	No	as with 14, parking across 89A.
No	No	Babe you already have Trailhead parking combined with shuttle pickup across the road by the high school
No	No	Dispersed parking reduces traffic congestion a given area.
No	No	Do not mix transit parking into the Western Gateway. The priority MUST be market-rate housing to restock Sedona's young families... or else...
No	No	Do not pave paradise to put up a parking lot.
No	No	Do not use any WG space for parking unless it's specifically as permitted parking for residents and guests of low cost housing tenants.
No	No	Do not use the WG property as a parking lot! Use the existing land next to the high school for parking and if more parking is needed, work out a deal to use parking on the high school site.
No	No	Don't close a parking lot to open another
No	No	Enough of the transits! Dry Creek road once a dirt road is like 89a. So much traffic! And many ATVs And often ambulances.
No	Yes	Free for residents
No	No	Hard one to understand with so little info. I'd want more depth of understanding before having an opinion. I live walking distance to this are. So it would matter to me.
No	Yes	Have both parking on upper loop rd and culture park.
No	No	high school lot needs to be used...if it is moved, then high school won't be used, so take advantage of the extra space. People can walk across the road to access those trails if they want.
No	No	High School Is perfect for this. Leave it and start charging to park there
No	No	How many parking lots do you need?! Have you not heard the song?
No		I absolutely think that the transit parking should stay out of the Western Gateway property. Keep it next to a highway so it doesn't ruin yet another beautiful piece of property and jam the Western Gateway with just more and more visitors.
No	Yes	I am open to this idea but would want more information.
No	Yes	I believe it is necessary for Sedona to provide a parking area for people who are passing through in their traveling homes or who are working here and cannot afford to live here. There could be a fee and public restrooms with showers. Many towns and cities have areas like this.
No	No	I do not see any options for affordable or workforce housing on this survey.

No	No	<p>I do not support further desecration of Sedona's environment and the trails and the land cannot support the increased use without further harm. I do not support increasing capacity to accommodate ever increasing numbers of tourists. There must be a balance. The sacred cultural park is not the area for this. If you must do something like this it should be at the Dells.</p> <p>I support a significant amount of open space being preserved - and for Sedona to honor the contract and intent from which the land transaction originally arose by protecting as much sacredness and space as possible and, importantly, by ensuring that all use of the land is very respectful of the environment, wildlife, dark skies and resident quality of life. No noise - No light.</p> <p>I would also caution not building what cannot be supported - Sedona already does an inadequate and poor to nil job of enforcing ordinance violations. Observe the increasing lighting and trash can violations the city does nothing about. Code enforcement must take a more active role in educating home owners, airbnb owners and contractors and proactively enforcing ordinances. Why do we have so many contractors installing noncompliant lighting that violates dark skies and neighbors when the entire VV is dark sky? There are increasingly few residents to complain; the city must act on our behalf.</p>
No	No	I do not support transit parking there. I feel that it would not be the best location if we are trying to built community.
No		I don't have any statistics for the parking in that area. If it's adequate, leave it as is.
No	Yes	I don't understand why there is no parking there. This is a mountain bike mecca. The parking area there now destroys tires, brakes and axles on cars.
No	No	I feel it would be more appropriate to have some parking in this area and a transit stop to connect to existing routes. However, I feel that creating a major transit parking lot here would be less convenient for visitors than the existing lot on 89a and RR Loop and potentially bothersome for any residents if housing is built on the site.
No	No	I prefer not to have too much transit hub space taken up at the Western Gateway property until the master plan calls for it for residents.
No	Yes	I support a lot on each side 89a One at the current location and one on the other side too I live off Upper Red Rock Loop Rd
No	Yes	I support partnering with the Forest Service for this multi-use parking.
No	No	I support the highest use of the land as a community gathering place with the best amenities the city can afford. This means that a parking area and transit hub is not my preferred option. I would rather see a park, community event spaces and recreational amenities.
No	Yes	<p>I support this with the caveat of asking what we gain in doing this versus leaving what we have alone? I do think there should be a bit more parking to accommodate trailhead access within the city so this is not a bad idea and is a low-cost way to truly leverage the unique location of the Western Gateway.</p> <p>I'm not sure what this would do to traffic flows, seems like it could balance out traffic flows off of 89 a which would not be bad.</p> <p>In my opinion, having parking for shuttles as close to trailheads as possible, and even outside of the city is desirable as keeping inflows and outflows low as the potential to greatly reduce traffic congestion.</p> <p>My consolidated viewpoint on the Western Gateway is asking ourselves how much inflow and outflow of vehicle traffic in Sedona will be coming to this place for any development purpose simply for the vehicle occupants to depart again or remain? Thought of this way, we might actually be in increasing traffic flows in dense areas for no other reason than relocating vehicles & occupants - with such a vast amount of space available just outside the city (either Forrest or city owned) that can accommodate this purpose - why would we bring it into Sedona? Why not place this a little outside of the city? Does an extra five minute drive for what is for most a multi hour outdoor trip a big deal? Keeping this out of the city could greatly improve the experience inside Sedona?</p> <p>Perhaps parking at Western Gateway is only for nearby trail heads. The rest are serviced on other lots outside. The city creating lots for trailhead access is not that costly and should be something we should do more of outside city limits..</p>
No	No	I think it is working as already set up. It doesn't need to be fixed. I don't think those going to Devils Bridge would be parking at Girdner Trailhead anyway.
No	No	I think the transit/shuttle parking should stay across the street at the HS. It seems to work nicely. I think the Girdner TH area should be enhanced and kept for locals/visitors NOT using the shuttle. And the amphitheater should be restored.

No	No	I think the views in the area are too beautiful to use for parking, so I would be worried about what is meant by "future Transit" and how much parking that would include.
No	Yes	I would keep the shuttle parking lot by the high school. Gateway parking would be for the Girdner trails, amphitheater and recreation center
No	No	I would like to see worker housing and a homeless services center.
No	No	I'd really prefer to keep the parking amenities fragmented and low-tech. At the end of the day, consolidation means taking up more space, using more concrete, creating more noise and congestion for the benefit and convenience of tourists and the "support" of the tourism economy. We really need to re-orient our thinking away from tourism and toward re-shaping our economy and lifestyle to focus on residents needs and amenities. Tourism will always be a part of Sedona's economy but we need to work to make it a smaller part of our economy. If you keep building and it paving it, you're only continuing to encourage more tourism. ** I also want to say that this survey should have been shaped as a statistically valid instrument that was communicated through multiple methods designed to facilitate maximum input from ALL residents -- NOT an online, non-valid instrument that was minimally-noticed. Start spending some money to get REAL, ROBUST input from residents! It would take a tiny fraction of the money currently being wasted on the hated parking garage to improve the city's efforts to get robust, meaningful input from its residents. The city's communication function is truly terrible. You need outside help in this regard, I think.
No	No	If we are going to say that locals matter, then putting in transit parking where we can't take dogs on the transit, makes no sense.
No	No	Is the parking that's available on the "other corner" to the Western Gateway insufficient? If so, can't it be expanded? There's already hotel and high school parking jarring the landscape. Why dig new parking lots?
No	No	Is there a problem with the existing transit parking location? If it is too small, it does make sense to consolidate, but the Western Gateway has too many proposed uses already to add this use.
No	No	It already exists. No need to duplicate and spend more money!
No	No	It does not seem necessary to me.
No	No	It is ridiculous to add traffic congestion at the west end of town worsening the evacuation routes incase of fires or emergencies. With 2 two roads in and out, we will end up with traffic standstills at all outlets. DUMB! The residents do not agree with your assumptions.
No	No	It seems to work the way it is, so why use more valuable land when the high school has parking already?
No	Yes	It would be nice to be more easily able to get around town without a car
No	No	It's okay to not build anything. With the cities track record it's going to turn out over budget and a much longer process than required.
No	No	Keep current lot and use the land for something else.
No	No	Keep it at the high school. The HS lot doesn't seem to be used now to capacity. The shuttles always seem empty as well.
No	No	Keep it where it is.
No	No	Keep it where it is--let's not waste the Western Gateway land for parking when it can we used for much better purposes.
No	No	Keep the Trailhead shuttle parking lot where it is. It is very visible and convenient for tourists.
No	No	Keep the transit parking where it is.
No	No	Keep transit parking where it is.
No	No	Keeping the transit parking at a location where it takes a bit of an effort for people to get to the trailheads would be a way to manage the over use of the trailheads.
No	No	Leave it on the loop drive.
No	Yes	Leave parking abundant and open for cars
No	Yes	Less money to create more parking
No	No	Let's not waste this space for tourist parking
No	Yes	Limited trailhead/shuttle parking, keep trailhead parking at the HS (charge more for western gateway parking to discourage trail head parking) and keep shuttle to drop off for western Gateway
No	Yes	Locals should get free parking.
No	Yes	Multi-use anything is always a better choice.
No	Yes	No Clusters
No	Yes	No huge parking lots. Keep it discreet.
No	No	No multi use anything. We already voted.
No	No	No need for more parking areas than what is already in the plan
No	No	No transit parking in Western Gateway. The priorities have to be for locals, not tourists.
No		No. See Amphitheater comment
No	No	no. why shuttle more people and traffic to one of the eassiet and most convenient trailhead parking lots? leave spaces not super developed, PLEASE!
No	No	Not needed.

No	No	Once again, more cost to build a parking lot and increase in taxes and no return to the homeowners.
No	No	Parking lot is ok as we have at other trailheads- NO OVERNIGHT PARKING allowed.
No	No	Pave paradise and put up a parking lot. If you build it, they will come. Trail overuse and abuse is rampant.
No	No	Please stop giving away National Forest land to developers, whatever claims made about what the land will be used for it still means less of the natural beauty that draws so many of us here.
No	No	PLEASE, won't at least one of you speak up for the environment? Won't at least one of you honor the commitment we had made NOT to "develop" the land? We are swiftly losing all of our natural beauty, and destroying Sedona in the process. With all the added traffic, it is inevitable in the future that more of our irreplaceable red rocks will be blown up to create more roads and highways. This is just so sad.
No	No	Preserve the land. Quit spending money unless you have it.
No		Prioritize affordable worker housing.
No	No	Prioritize young family housing over transit parking and locals use of trail-heads (locals permitted, versus open).
No	No	Scarce land shouldn't be used for parking.
No	No	See above comments.
No	No	So far traffic is worse than ever, despite all the money poured into transit and parking. Stop approving hotels, motels, and further construction for more people until our city can handle it. My taxes are going up and resolutions are not working. COMMON SENSE PLEASE
No	No	Space for reasonably priced housing is more important at the Western Gateway area. Keep the transit parking where it is!
No	No	spread out the congestion instead of consolidating it.
No	Yes	Spread the parking around. Large parking lots are not the Sedona aesthetic. Also spreading the lots around shares traffic load.
No	No	Stop bringing traffic and tourists to a city that can not handle either
No	No	Stop the laser focus on the tourists and try to figure out how to help the residents. What can you do that would be a bonus/service to the citizens.
No		That land is too beautiful for making it into a parking lot. Multiple family housing and workforce housing is what we need the most in Sedona.
No	No	The area is often overcrowded. There is often illegal camping on the city parts of the property which the city does not enforce.
No	Yes	The city can ask for volunteers to ask what trails the visitors are going to hike at the transit parking on the corner of 98A and Upper Red Rock Loop Rd. This parking can be used for 5 trails, Scorpion, Herkenham, Sky Walker, Schuerman Mtn. and S trails.
No	Yes	The current parking area should remain as there are times when events at the entertainment venues at the high school need extra parking places.
No	No	The current parking lot is in a poor location for visitors coming in from the east. Putting the lot in the Cultural Center wouldn't help any.
No	No	the Forest Service should provide the parking for the trailheads. The City's land is precious and should be use for recreational and gathering spaces.
No	No	The other site is not really being used now, why add more cost to it?
No	No	The parking area by the high school services the shuttle to other trailheads not the western gateway trailheads. Gateway parking should be for the girdner trails, rec center and amphitheater.
No	Yes	The previous question leaves this open if it does not take up much additional land
No		The shuttle needs to be planned on a broader scale. Ideal routes for the future of the shuttle would be more efficient for in and out at the current location by the high school.
No	No	The shuttle parking by the high school seems to be sufficient most of the time. Using some of the valuable Cultural Park property for a large parking area is not a good use of that property. Adding a shuttle bus stop and other transit stop might be good.
No		The transition parking lot situation at Posse Grounds has more cars than it can handle. I worry about the same thing happening at Cultural Park. Park them at the Dells? Or pick up at hotels?
No	No	there is a transit parking right across the highway. I don't see a need to spend money on something that is already taking place.
No	No	There is already transit across the street!
No	No	There is sufficient parking for transit where it is currently established and close enough in proximity to walk. Creating another place that displaces residents ability to park at local amenities is already a frustration.
No	No	There needs to be affordable family housing !!
No	No	There's adequate parking in the lot adjacent to the high school.

No	No	This is a waste of great land - Free shuttles are the best way -- From neighborhoods, hotels and key areas where people can walk to
No	No	This is confusing. I do not really understand #15 and #16. I would not be in favor of turning the Western Gateway into a major parking lot. The area should be preserved as much as possible, and a parking lot would not enable this. Expand the parking at the trailhead shuttle area, if needed. Additionally, the parking at the high school is rarely, if ever full. Work out a relationship with the school to utilize their parking, if needed. We should not be building more parking to service the <5% of the days of the year when the parking areas are full. There is SO much parking in this town - work with the local Churches & local businesses to utilize the parking that sits empty 95% of the year. If we need a huge parking area, put it out at the Dells and shuttle people in town during the very few days when our existing parking infrastructure is full.
No	No	This just breaks my heart. Why, why, why would this even be on the list? Again, one of the most beautiful properties in the area with one of the most iconic scenic views. You literally want to pave paradise to put up a parking lot? The people who proposed this idea should immediately be fired. I absolutely do not support this concept.
No	No	<p>This will increase tourist attendance here and this function should remain separate. Keep the parking area for transit as is and improve it for tourists. Ideally, the gateway land should skew toward residents use and community. More transit buses and more tourists means more pollution/littering. I don't see enough recycling bins. When I first moved here, I was surprised how un-eco-friendly this place was — and still is. Why not more water fountains? People carry their canisters w/them wherever they go these days. They are a built-in audience.</p> <p>A final note: I am often disappointed at how much the city government does not do that other, less prosperous cities do. E.g. More trash bins, more recycling. More water fountains. City Hall itself is very hard to navigate and to find people to help. It's like a closed off city of its own that is not welcoming to its citizens. Even the police station is cold and unwelcoming. It's all very inward looking and that's what I'm still seeing with each initiative. They have done some good things, as well. But look at Santa Fe with all of its friendly walking paths — or Anthem. Look at the constant speeding on Cooks Hill from the Y to Airport Mesa Roads (including the cops!). The fact that no local willingly goes uptown unless they absolutely must means there is a huge divide in priorities. Driving uptown feels so claustrophobic with all of the fencing -- which would be fine, but the additional landscaping that will overgrow makes it feel even more narrow. Trucks find it hard to navigate. If it were just an "old town" section that wasn't the only way up the canyon, it might have been a good move. But it's just off-putting. I do like the parking garage, unlike many, but I'm hoping there will be plenty of great signage to guide tourists away from circling round and round. Love the Forest Road extension and hope that means traffic will naturally slow down on Cooks Hill because of it. It's a tough job trying to please everybody and I appreciate the efforts. The fact that so many residents complain but don't vote, or don't fill out these surveys, means you have to go ahead and do what you think is best based on feedback from the few. I get it. Can't wait to give feedback on the walking path you want to build on oak creek uptown down to 179. Love that idea, but hope you don't overdevelop. That 500k donation from one of the developers isn't nearly enough. And they absolutely should provide some employee housing. Hope you'll share these comments with city hall even though they're not about the cultural park.</p>
No		<p>Tourists will drive into the City to their hotel, then out of the city to a parking lot to get on a bus to go back into the city. That's insane.</p> <p>Multiuse? What on earth is that? Like cars, jets and airplanes? No, cars only please.</p>
No	No	Trailhead parking should be kept for specific area. Keep transit parking separate.
No	No	Trailhead parking should be separate from transit parking because the city has done poorly with trying to force people to use the trailhead shuttles by closing the parking lots.
No	No	Transit parking and trail head parking serve different interests and therefore should not be combined. Also, the western gateway is a beautiful location at a pinnacle position for vistas and could be jointly considered some limited commercial enterprises to complement the trailhead area
No	No	Transit parking could be developed at The Dells.
No	No	Transit parking is fine across 89a
No	No	Transit parking should be at transit parking lots throughout the city and not at trailheads. Hikers should ride transit to the trailheads.
No	Yes	Transit parking should be in several areas scattered around the city should be seven days a week the hour should be changed people who want to go to dinner later in the evenings it does not have to start so early. The majority of people do not use it to get to the trailhead parking. Space.
No	No	Transit parking where it is now is the right thing. To put it across the road causes an awkward left turn to get onto 89A where as the current location makes for an easy right turn to get on the highway.
No	No	Use the Dells because it alerts people before they even enter west sedona, and it smells like sewage all the time out there anyways. The lot at the High school and maybe some of the amphitheater lot when it's not in full use.
No	Yes	Use the existing city lot.
No	No	Use the lot across the street where there is trail head shuttles

No	No	use the shuttle
No	No	We are spending gazillions on the Uptown parking garage...the City has transportation to the Trailheads... We only need parking at the Cultural Center for events.
No	No	We don't need more parking
No	Yes	We have so many visitors. We need both parking areas.
No	No	We need more housing
No	No	WE PAVED PARADISE AND PUT UP A PARKING LOT. Please stop with all these parking lots ugh.
No	Yes	West end of Sedona needs two transit parking lots. The existing one next to the high school serving trailheads above and below Red Rock Loop Road, students and event participants at the High School, the hotels, and the Yavapai College Complex. AND the suggested new one at Western Gateway will serve all that is proposed in that area, and also people attended Yavapai College, and all the lodging on the North side of 89A.
No	No	What about the Dells
No	No	When Sedona is full and there are no more spots to park, the people will not stay as long. Shuttle from hotels and AirBnB/Vrbo properties and tell people to leave their cars where they are. Turn your school in the VOC into a parking lot for shuttle transit. Or have them park at the many properties you already own all over town for transit parking..
No	No	Where are the questions about affordable housing? That property should be a candidate for permanent multifamily affordable housing to assist service workers in Sedona.
No	No	Where is the demand for more cultural things like an art museum?
No	Yes	While technically I support consolidating the parking lot I think more parking is better so I would leave the already existing parking to meet possible contingency parking needs for events. It's also helpful for SPAC overflow parking at night.
No	No	Why not consider using the site being considered for annexation as the location for parking and shuttles? Better to avoid attracting even more cars into Sedona proper.
No	No	Yes and no. Depends on what multi-use best represents Sedona?
No	Yes	Yes! More parking for all to alleviate the overflow parking in residential areas.
No	No	You have this one solved with the parking near the high school
Yes	No	Affordable housing should be the number one priority. If it is not designated for this area, then I would support multi-use parking.
Yes	Yes	Again parking for the amphitheater can have multiple uses
Yes	Yes	All could combine with amphitheater parking.
Yes	Yes	As long as it's not too large
Yes	Yes	Build affordable housing for local families and employees.
Yes	Yes	Concerning the shuttle stop next to the outdoor pool at the high school --- It was so very disappointing to watch the City of Sedona lay all the concrete for a parking lot and turn that wonderful location with the awesome view into a transit stop! MOVE all the transit parking and shuttle stops to the outside of town and take back that particular area by installing benches, picnic tables and small alcoves that support quiet observation of the canyon below and opportunities for meditation/prayer/appreciation of the vast beauty of Sedona, high up on that outstanding piece of land.
Yes	No	contact information Stanton Rolf sdrof@gmail.com
Yes		Do not use this as a stealth homeless camp
Yes	No	Do not want excuses for people hanging out near trailheads. Dangerous for women.
Yes	Yes	Don't take away the trailhead parking
Yes	Yes	First of all, parking at the corner on the highway is a stupid place to put parking. That's the first thing that people see when they drive into the city, it's just ugly, plus it's too small for busy times. Second, why would you have transit parking on both the corner lot and here when they are so close. Third, maybe there should be a tourist oriented parking area at Western Gateway with tourist info. Could just be outside info (no walk-in visitor center needed), like what you have on trailhead signs (how to behave, how to use transit, etc).
Yes	Yes	Further in-depth analysis is required here.
Yes	No	Gardner Trail had parking can use the shuttle across the highway.
Yes	Yes	Hopefully this helps w traffic.
Yes	Yes	I think transit parking was thrust upon us.
Yes	Yes	Ideally, parking can be multi-purpose for the trailhead, events and transit use.
Yes	Yes	If Sedona can keep more cars out of the city and get people to use public transit that would be good thing.
Yes	Yes	If the parking lot was hidden from view it would be better as we don't want the western entrance to our fair city to be a massive parking lot.

Yes	No	If transit parking is not convenient and run properly tourist won't use it
Yes	Yes	I'm all for more parking availability on the outskirts of the city.
Yes	Yes	It can be part of the amphitheater and used for standard parking during non event periods.
Yes	Yes	It could serve the residents at the Cultural Park. I strongly support housing as a primary use of the Cultural Park property
Yes	Yes	It needs to be well organized and thoughtful.
Yes	Yes	It sounds like an efficient use of resources to consolidate into a multi-use space.
Yes	Yes	Just don't pave it.
Yes	Yes	Large parking area to keep traffic out of town. Expand bus service. Use daily wrist band system for riders to get on/ off buses. Charge fee to be determined
Yes	Yes	Let shuttle bus users park here, trail users, anyone.
Yes	No	Let's work with what already exists and improve upon it? Is this such a strange concept?
Yes	Yes	More parking lots for trailhead shuttles so the trailheads are kept less disturbed.
Yes	Yes	Much better than next to school with kids
Yes	Yes	Multipurpose parking lot with rv hookups. These hookups could be rented nightly to offset capital improvements
Yes	No	Not a easy question to answer. Maybe it would be a perfect place for the mountain bikers, seperate as much from hikers?
Yes	Yes	Not much to look at here. Go for it.
Yes	Yes	Parks are great but our biggest problem is too many cars on our roads creating grid lock. Build a big parking lot and have folks take shuttles to trail heads, uptown etc.
Yes		Please keep the desert as pristine and untouched as you possibly can.
Yes	Yes	Prefer just trailhead parking
Yes	Yes	Sedona's trail head shuttle service is the priority. Western Gateway use that will strategically enhance our trail head shuttle service.
Yes	Yes	seems to be working I have noticed that when the cross walk is closed at Tlaquepaque and the visitors have to use the new underpass walk path traffic flows a lot better, I would suggest building a permanent fence like up down to stop J walking and remove the cross walk altogether
Yes	Yes	Shuttles seem to be working. However fees need to be added in place of tax increases
Yes	Yes	sloping ground allows for SIMPLE multi level parking. parking should be shared with some housing and other uses
Yes	Yes	That seems to make sense. It also frees up the high-profile corner by the high school for another future use.
Yes	Yes	The Gateway area is the perfect place for transit parking, tourist information & education- possibly a Museum
Yes	No	The only worry for parking is trail damage. What has happened out at 525 is a tragedy. We have not been good stewards of these beautiful lands.
Yes	Yes	The vehicles used for transit should not sit there idling and polluting the air. It is disgusting. When people return fromo hikes and relatively decent air, they have to walk through a cloud of toxic emissions to get to their cars. There is no need for tourist buses and city "trolleys" to idle for 10-15 minutes or longer at a time.
Yes	Yes	There should be support for the folks parking in transit parking in the form of other folks who have the capability to help the transit parkers in some form or another. There should be several low-income housing complexes and/or low-income single family homes in Sedona already built.
Yes	Yes	This could be the Sedona Transportation Station for all things with wheels. As well as the trail head.
Yes	Yes	This town needs more parking for sure, just concerned about people living in their cars at this location. Totally against that activity with city money where public gatherings take place. The uptown crosswalk is a prime example of how the city allows things to take place with little concern for shops or even the tourists safety. That went on for YEARS. Public display of animal cruelty under the guise of a non-profit 501c that was a total scam, yet it was allowed. Is that what we are getting ourselves into city of Sedona? If so, leave it the way it is, we're much better off now than . . .??
Yes	Yes	This would be necessary.
Yes	Yes	Transit is a part of the city's plan to reduce traffic and increase multi-modal use. Having a transit stop in an area that will get high use, and that may contain many new residential units will allow people to go to and from without having additional vehicles on the road. Plus, the consolidation of parking with a transit system will force people to park their cars at the entry to Sedona and use the transit system to get to where they are going.
Yes	Yes	Transit parking for ride share/carpooling is great because it would reduce the amount of cars on the road.
Yes	Yes	transit parking is a problem in Sedona and an area like this could help alleviate the congestion, especially during seasonal conditions.
Yes	Yes	Transit parking is essential. It is much safer to park in a designated area instead of along narrow two-lane roadways.
Yes	Yes	Transit parking will make little sense unless you provide rapid, direct service to uptown sites or to key tourist sites up Dry Creek road...otherwise, tourists will seek what they think is the easier solution to meeting their visit needs.

Yes	Yes	Trying to keep cars on the outskirts of the city sounds better than encouraging them in. I don't know if it would work without parking limitations elsewhere, but the idea sounds nice to me.
Yes	Yes	Using the area for shuttle stops makes sense.
Yes	Yes	We need it how many people are actually using it? We need to keep crowds and traffic down as much as possible have a permit required.
Yes	Yes	WE NEED IT!
Yes	Yes	Yes, seems that it would be more efficient to consolidate everything. If that were the outcome I would support a community partnership with the FS.
Yes	Yes	yes. makes sense to consolidate and expand at Western Gateway
		I left this blank as I am unclear whether we are discussing the movement of the existing parking lot or the suggestion of a larger parking lot that would accommodate additional vehicles as well as shuttle buses etc.
		I think locals should be able to get passes to park at trailheads
	Yes	I'm not sure of the capacity for question 15. But I don't remember it being that large. What will happen if there is an event at SPAC and at Western Gateway? I don't think one lot can accommodate multi-events.
		Run shuttles into Sedona from 179 and 117, not around town. Traffic is still unchanged with current shuttles. Trailhead parking is still full and there are more visitors than ever trashing the hiking trails on the Sedona Amusement Park.
	Yes	There were supposed to be cutouts on Hwy 89A for transit buses to move over and allow traffic flow to continue. Not. All the cars parked along streets and roads in neighborhoods must be horrible for residents
		This property is too small for multiple uses. Either a transit / parking lot or a community space can be done but not both. If the forest service is willing to do parking on forest land for trailhead purposes with composting toilets that would be awesome!
	Yes	This will be needed to keep traffic down. Who benefits? locals and tourists
		Unsure why this is better than the high school parking lot